

Below is a summary of the other three options considered for the Supported Youth Housing project that were deemed not suitable.

Option One	Option Two	Option Three
<p>Take no further action, Rutland County Council will continue to support young people accepted through the 16/17 protocol with the current options outlined earlier in the paper. Staff to remain at Jules and the upgrade works not completed or move to another council owned building.</p>	<p>Team 2 to remain at Jules and complete the works required.</p>	<p>Explore options to procure providers to deliver a youth housing project.</p>
Disadvantages	Disadvantages	Disadvantages
<p>Based on current data we have regarding the number of young people eligible to move into a supported living project, the children's social care placement budget will continue to significantly overspend.</p> <p>Young people could continue to be placed out of county which will have a detrimental impact on ensuring local connections with family, services and their wider communities are sustained.</p> <p>Health and Safety risk to staff is not addressed.</p> <p>Team 2 could relocate to an office based building but it would need to take into consideration the delivery of frontline service provision which will incur an additional cost as some of the current targeted youth offer is delivered from Jules.</p> <p>An increased risk of unplanned failure of building services.</p>	<p>Based on current data we have regarding the number of young people eligible to move into a supported living project, the children's social care placement budget will continue to significantly overspend.</p> <p>Investing in a capital asset which is not owned by the Council.</p> <p>Young people could continue to be placed out of county which will have a detrimental impact on ensuring local connections with family, services and their wider communities are sustained.</p> <p>The cost of works required has been estimated by Property Services as up to £60k</p> <p>No funding sources have been identified to complete the remedial works.</p>	<p>There have been informal discussions with a well known experienced provider who has stated in their proposal that the Council would need to provide a venue at no cost.</p> <p>The Council would also need to finance the work required to develop a building to meet accommodation requirements.</p> <p>Options have been explored with external specialist supported accommodation providers who have quoted £160k per annum in addition to the costs that would be recouped through housing benefit.</p> <p>Only £22.5k from existing budgets has been identified to support this model.</p>

The long term costs for the maintenance of Jules will increase.